



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 9930	
09/995,147	11/27/2001	Mathias Monse	GOTZF 131		
2555 75	590 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
	FOSTER, PHILLIPS	VU, VIET DUY			
	IDGE BOULEVARD	•	L ARTIBUT	DADED MUCDED	
REYNOLDSBI	URG, OH 43068		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2154		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		(09/995,147	MONSE ET AL.				
		E	xaminer	Art Unit				
			iet Vu	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTE THE MAIL - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI of time may be available under the provisions MONTHS from the mailing date of this common for reply specified above, the maximum staply within the set or extended period for reply believed by the Office later than three months and term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. 0) days, a reply wit atutory period will a will, by statute, car	i). In no event, however, may a reply be hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) ipply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for use the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered time om the mailing date of this of NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status								
2a)∐ This 3)∐ Since	oonsive to communication(s) file action is FINAL . e this application is in condition accordance with the practic	2b)⊠ This ac for allowance	etion is non-final. except for formal matters,		e merits is			
Disposition of	f Claims							
4a) C 5)∭ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)∭ Clair	m(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the a of the above claim(s) is/ar m(s) is/are allowed. m(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restrict	re withdrawn						
Application P	apers							
10)∐ The d Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are: cant may not request that any objected to accement drawing sheet(s) including that or declaration is objected to	a) accept ction to the dra the correction	wing(s) be held in abeyance. is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C				
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Di	eferences Cited (PTO-892) ● raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		O-152)			

1. The current title is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed

Page 2

Non-Art Rejections:

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim language is vague and confusing and hence makes it difficult to clearly determine the scope of the claimed invention. Applicant is advised to revise the claims to more clearly define the claimed subject matters.

Art Rejections:

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the

Application/Control Number: 09/995,147 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamakado et al, U.S. pat. Appl. Pub. No. 2002/0015177 in view of applicant's admitted prior art.

Per claims 1-6, Yamakado discloses a tandem printing system comprising:

- a) a plurality printing devices (PR1-4, fig. 2) connected via a LAN (3, fig. 2) to at least one host computer (1, fig. 2) which distributing print data and commands to the printers (see fig. 2 and page 3, par. 36),
- b) a printer drive processor resides within each printer for controlling printer operations including positions and speeds (see page 2, par. 34),

Application/Control Number: 09/995,147

Art Unit: 2154

c) an interface controller resides within each printer for receiving print command and data from the host and for receiving control signals from a multi-link controller (2, fig. 1) via a bus (4, fig. 1) to control the printer in a master/slave mode for performing tandem printing where one printer operates in synch with the others (see page 3, par. 36).

Yamakado does not explicitly teach using specific type of LAN for connecting the printers. The use of ring-type network for connecting host and printers is well known in the art as admitted by the applicant (see page 1, par. 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any conventional types of LAN including ring-type network for connecting the hosts and printers. The use of ring-type network would have been motivated because it would have enabled more efficient use of cabling.

Per claims 7-14, it is noted that Yamakado's multi-link controller (2) which functions as a print master is connected to the slave printers via star-like bus (4) for providing synchronous clock signals to the printers in tandem printing, i.e., master/slave printing mode (see page 3, par. 40).

Per claims 15-22, <u>Yamakado</u> teaches that the printers can operate as independent (master) printers in regular printing

mode as well as slave printers in tandem printing mode when connected to the multi-link controller (see page 3, par. 38).

Per claims 23-30, <u>Yamakado</u> also teaches that the multi-link controller can be implemented as a separate master unit for controlling the printers via the master bus or be a part of the host unit (data distribution unit) that provides print commands and data to the printer via a LAN (see page 3, par. 36).

Conclusion:

- 7. The references cited by the examiner on PTO-892 but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-9600. The Group fax number is 703-872-9306.

Two. w

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 11/15/04